UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
for	he FILED IN	OPEN/COURT
Eastern District of	North Carolina ON	5-26-2020
	Peter US D	A. Moore, Jr., Clerk istrict Court
United States of America	Easte	ern District of NC
v.	4 00 00 70 444	
CIRCE NENA BAEZ	Case No. 4:20-CR-72-1M	
Defendant		
ORDER OF DETENTI	ON PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibili	y for Detention	
Upon the		
✓ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own me		,
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in		
Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	s to Presumptions under § 3142(e)	
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. presumption that no condition or combination of condit and the community because the following conditions has	ons will reasonably assure the safety of	
\square (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following		
☐ (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.		
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term		s prescribed; or
\Box (b) an offense for which the maximum senter \Box (c) an offense for which a maximum term of	-	escribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 80 (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of	1-904), the Controlled Substances Impo	rt and Export Act
☐ (d) any felony if such person has been conv		
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or i		
described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of		g rise to Federal
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime		
(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a fi (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a fi	earm or destructive device (as defined i	
(iii) the defendant has previously been convicted		
§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that w		

Page 1 of 3

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and*(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

□ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance of the community because the communi		
committed one or more of the following offenses: (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (2 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	1	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;		
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 ye or more is prescribed;	ars	
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	n of	
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	,	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above		
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)		
OR		
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.		
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hear the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ing,	
☐ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assurthe safety of any other person and the community.	ire	
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.	e	
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:		
☐Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong		
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted		
☐ Prior criminal history		
☐ Participation in criminal activity while on supervision or release		
☐ History of violence		
☐ History of weapons use		
☐ History of substance abuse		
☐ Lack of suitable release plan		
☐ Evidence of flight		

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

□ Noncompliance with prior supervision

Defendant knowingly and voluntarily waived the right to a detention hearing. Based upon that waiver, the court finds there is no condition or combination of conditions to reasonably assure the defendant's appearance or that the defendant would not be a danger to any person or the community.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	08/26/2020	Minbely ashaul
		United States Magistrate Judge